1	1		
2			
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION		
4			
5	5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 23-	-mj-03001- WJE	
6	6 Plaintiff,) Jefferson Ci) February 13,	ty, Missouri 2023	
7			
8	8 RYAN HINE,		
9	9 Defendant.		
10	10		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY AND DETENTION HEARING		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIE J. EPPS, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14	APPEARANCES:		
15		ted States Attorney	
16	80 Lafayette S Jefferson City (573) 634-8214	, MO 65101	
17	17		
18		Defender's Office	
19	Ⅱ	, MO 65101	
20			
21	Court Audio Operator: Ms. Angel Geis	ser	
22		taker	
23	23 1001 West 65th Kansas City, N (816) 914-3613	10 64113	
24	24		
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.		

(Court in Session at 9:49 a.m.)

MS. GEISER: Good morning. We're here on Case No. 23-mj-3001-WJE, U.S.A. vs. Ryan Hine. We're here for preliminary and detention hearings. Please maintain order. Thank you.

THE COURT: Hey, good morning, everyone. May I please have the appearance of the United States?

MS. TURNER: Ashley Turner for the United States.

THE COURT: Okay. Good to see you, Ms. Turner.

MS. TURNER: Good to see you.

THE COURT: And appearance of defense counsel.

MS. WANG: Katie Wang for Mr. Hine.

THE COURT: Okay. Good to see you, Ms. Wang. Good morning to you, Mr. Hine.

MR. HINE: Good morning.

THE COURT: So, it looks like we're here for a couple of hearings. In the matter of the preliminary hearing, Mr. Hine, Ms. Wang, would you like to proceed with that hearing, or do you waive it?

MS. WANG: We will be waiving the hearing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Given that's waived, we will move to the detention hearing. I have reviewed the Government's motion for detention. I've also reviewed the charging document pending against Mr. Hine. I've reviewed the Pretrial Services Report. I know the parties have had a chance to review that report by now and to analyze it. Mr. Hine, you have reviewed that report,

correct?

MR. HINE: Correct.

THE COURT: Are there any corrections from either party?

MS. TURNER: No corrections. Just I'm going to be offering the -- I'm going ask for judicial notice of the Complaint Affidavit and then I have some proffering.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Wang, any corrections?

MS. WANG: Yes, Your Honor. On page 2 with regard to the road trip that was taken to Canada, that was last year. And then on page 3 with regard to Mr. Hine's therapy, Mr. Hine's therapist, that therapist is not with Columbia Psychiatry. That therapist is with Work Life Counseling.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. WANG: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you for those corrections. Taking into account those corrections, will both parties stipulate to the report and agree that if called to testify, the Pretrial Officer would testify consistent with the report?

MS. TURNER: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. WANG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Without objection, I'll move that report into evidence. I know the Government has a proffer. You may move forward with that, Ms. Turner.

MS. TURNER: Yes, Your Honor. Just briefly. There was a portion of the Pretrial Services Report that talks about the

defendant being a primary care for his father. I know that the agents have relayed to me that they have hired a service that comes in and that helps take care of Mr. Hine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And I assume there's no objection to move the Affidavit into evidence, and I will do so at this time. Ms. Wang, any additional evidence and/or proffer?

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Turner, since you represent the moving party, I'll hear from you first and then I'll hear from Ms. Wang.

MS. WANG: No, Your Honor.

Thank you, Your Honor. The Government MS. TURNER: moves for detention pending trial in this matter for the following reasons. One is we believe that Mr. Hine is a danger to the community. Looking at the Complaint Affidavit it is clear that this young man was involved in some very serious conduct. So, first and foremost, he has victimized MV1 who was the victim that was charged in the Complaint Affidavit. In doing so, he met her online. He began talking with her. He knew her age and traveled to Mississippi in order to engage in sexual intercourse with her. It didn't just end there. He produced child pornography, induced her to produce child pornography, both remotely via the Internet, as well as when he was in person by recording with a cellular telephone and then returning back to Missouri or Chicago, wherever he was residing. And one thing to tell the Court is he was engaging in this activity both while he

was a college student and while he was living at home with his So, that in and of itself, that conduct is extremely serious. But Mr. Hine took it further. A couple of other additional victims are mentioned in the Affidavit, listed as Minor Victim 2 and Minor Victim 3. The defendant then used the child pornography that he had produced with Minor Victim 1 to help to induce Minor Victim 2 and Minor Victim 3 into creating their own child pornography. That, in and of itself, is serious behavior and very serious criminal conduct. But the defendant took it a step further. This defendant then advertised and put these pictures out there for people to purchase on the Internet. And he utilized Cash App, as well as received gift cards in exchange for this content. So, and we know for sure that individuals purchased these files, these videos, these pictures because an individual, one of those buyers was intercepted and was -- is charged out in New Jersey. And these images were located on his cellular telephone device.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is an ongoing investigation. As you know with a Complaint, the entirety of the Government's case and the entirety of the Government's charges have not been charged as of yet. I can say that as this investigation is continuing, HSI agents are continuing to identify additional victims at this time, some as young as the age of 11.

So, I know that Mr. Hine has family support. I know that Mr. Hine does not have a criminal history. And I know that

Mr. Hine is a young man relatively to a lot of the types of defendants that we see here in court. However, Mr. Hine was involved in a very serious enterprise involving the creation, victimization, advertising, and selling of young minors' conduct -- or sexual conduct. So, he is a hands-on offender. He is a child pornography producer. He is a child pornography distributor. And he is exchanging that child pornography for his financial gain. For all of those reasons, and for the nature of the -- and how -- we have victims all over, you know, in different states, in different -- through the country and the fact that Mr. Hine has conducted all of this either remotely or in person, the Government would respectfully request that he remain detained pending pretrial -- pending trial. I will say because Mr. Hine has no criminal history, because he has not had experience with the court system, we don't have prior behavior to predict whether or not he will appear, so the Government is not moving for -- because he's a flight risk, except for the fact that these are very serious charges with -- as charged now with this particular offense, a mandatory minimum of ten years up to a lifetime in prison. So, that's the only basis for flight risk that the Government is proposing at this time. So, as I've stated, the Government requests that this defendant be detained. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Turner. Wanq.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WANG: Thank you, Your Honor. We request that Mr.

Hine be released pending trial. As the Court knows, in determining whether Mr. Hine should be released there are two questions. The first question is whether the presumption of detention in this case has been rebutted. And the second question is whether there are any conditions or whether the Government has met its burden of proof that there are no conditions that could reasonably assure Mr. Hine's appearance in court and the community's safety.

With regard to the first question, we have a wealth of evidence that rebuts the presumption. With regard to the second question, the Government has not met its burden of proof. There are conditions that could reasonably assure Mr. Hine's appearance in court and the community's safety.

Going to the first question, the presumption of detention is rebutted in this case by just some of the evidence. As the Court knows, the standard for rebutting the presumption is not a high standard. It requires us to produce, as I mentioned, some evidence that -- some evidence, for example, Mr. Hine's family ties. As the Government mentioned, Mr. Hine has the support of his family. And as the Pretrial Services Report noted, his parents are very supportive. That is demonstrated by their appearance in court with us today. Mrs. Lisa Hine and Mr. Edward Hine, who goes by Ward, came down from Columbia. Mrs. Hine took time off from work. And Mr. Hine, despite his physical limitations due to his Stage 5 metastatic melanoma cancer, came

to support their son. There is --

THE COURT: Yeah. The Court would like to thank the parents for being here. It means a lot to the Court. It means a lot, I know, to Mr. Hine, and it means a lot to counsel. So, thank you for being here.

MS. WANG: As the Pretrial Services Report noted about their support, they're willing to remove devices, disconnect their network, which would address concerns that the Court might have based on the Complaint of any activity that Mr. Hine may conduct on the Internet if he were to be released. So, that is just some of the evidence that rebuts the presumption.

I'd like to start in terms of the other evidence with Mr. Hine's character. He is a compassionate and giving young man. That compassion and giving is evidenced, as the Government noted, in the care giving that he gives to his father who was diagnosed with cancer about 11 years ago. Since then, Mr. Hine has taken care of his father. His father describes Mr. Hine as his right arm. And he is literally his right arm, helping him get dressed, bringing him things, lifting things up, maintaining their home and yard, sweeping the back deck so that Mr. Ward Hine can engage in hobbies like gardening, running errands like grocery shopping so that Mr. Edward -- Mr. Ward Hine can cook.
Mr. Hine worked as a chef and still works part-time as a chef if Mr. Edward Hine is able to help him. He caters food for the bank that he works -- that employs him. And maybe more importantly,

Mr. Ryan Hine provides his father companionship. Because his father spends so much of his time alone at home, he's isolated from his family members and community members. So, they do things like watching movies, action and comedy movies to keep the spirit light. They recently watched a movie "Red Notice" on Netflix. In terms of helping Mr. -- helping his father with his medical needs, Mr. Hine remembers appointments, drives his father to and from appointments, advocates for him during those appointments, helps him manage medications, and is learning about the Social Security and Medicare benefit system, which we know is a -- are complicated systems. Mr. Hine is eager to learn about all of the things that are related to his father's care. And, in fact, he has put his life on hold to take care of his father. At the beginning of the pandemic, Mr. Hine quarantined for two weeks just so he could come home to take care of his father. means, as you can tell, is a lot to his father. But it also means a lot to Mr. Hine's mother who wouldn't to be able to work if it were not for his care giving of his father. And, in fact, Mrs. Hine stated that her son, Mr. Hine, knows more about her husband's needs than she does because of how much time Mr. Hine puts in to care giving. Outside of the family, Mr. Hine has the support of a large extended family. His mother has eight siblings and parents who live in Columbia who Mr. Hine sees a couple of times a month. Mr. Hine, as the Government mentioned, was in college studying. He has recently completed the

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requirements to obtain a Bachelor's in Health Science, which he

-- which took him some time to discover, but which he really
enjoys now. And his -- although his path at school may not have
been a straightforward path, it became much easier after he
received treatment for his mental health illnesses including
ADHD, and started receiving therapy services to the point that
now he feels like his therapist, Caleb Cheavens in Columbia at
Work Life Counseling, is a big part of his support system.

With regard to employment, Mr. Hine has been working since he was young doing odd jobs like pet sitting, which his neighbors trusted him to do. He also has experience with other areas like food service and retail. Most recently, he found a job that he really enjoys and is really good at, care giving. Specifically, care giving for elders through Right at Home. There he is a loved employee because of his compassion towards his clients. Not only does Mr. Hine enjoy that work, he does it so that he can provide -- he can take care of himself financially as well as his family. He wants to be able to help support them because his father hasn't been able to work in a long time.

As the Government noted, Mr. Hine has no criminal history. With regard to the offense, the allegations are very serious. It is a testament to his parents' support of him that they are very aware of the allegations -- of the offense and of the seriousness of the offense, but they have come together as a family to support him. And they will continue to support him

throughout this case. Also, with regard to the charged offense, the Affidavit, as the Government referred to, has no allegations of criminal conduct for the past 14 months. Furthermore, Mr. Hine has no history of drug use. The risk that Mr. Hine poses to the community is low. That is reflected in Pretrial Services Pretrial Risk Assessment which scored him at 2. And so there are conditions, there are a set of conditions that the Court could impose that would ensure -- they don't have to guarantee -- it would reasonably ensure Mr. Hine's appearance in court and the safety of the community. And those conditions are contemplated in 18 United States Code §3142, which sets out conditions for individuals who are charged with offense involving minors. this case those conditions would reasonably assure Mr. Hine's court appearance and the safety of the community. Therefore, we ask that Mr. Hine be released pending trial. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wang. Mr. Hine, the Court in situations where a defendant has no criminal history has usually granted bond. But given the serious nature of the charge as outlined in the Affidavit with the allegation that this is a pattern of conduct involving not one victim, not two minor victims, not three minor victims, but at least three, if not more, and this involves actual sexual activity on an ongoing basis with at least one minor victim. For those reasons, the Court believes that you do pose a danger to the community. The Court has concern that you pose a danger to specific individuals.

The Court is concerned that you have traveled to great lengths to allegedly commit these offenses. The Court has not heard anything which would lead me to believe that you would be successful under supervision. And, therefore, the Court will detain you pending trial of this matter. Is there anything further from the United States? MS. TURNER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Wang?

MS. WANG: For purposes of the record, what is the Court's ruling with regard to the presumption of detention?

THE COURT: That the defense has not overcome the presumption.

MS. WANG: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. We'll be in recess.

(Court Adjourned at 10:11 a.m.)

•

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker Signature of Transcriber

March 1, 2023 Date